



Shri Sukhwant Singh,
113, Dhanas,
Chandigarh.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, PB.,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, PB.,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2780 of 2020

Present:- Shri Sukhwant Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Ravinder Kumar, Suptd. is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o State
Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, PB., Chandigarh.

ORDER

Shri Sukhwant Singh, appellant filed RTI application and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, Punjab, Chandigarh. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.6151 dated 24.06.2020 and the information sought by the appellant was denied being third party information. Then the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 23.09.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent has appeared on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the information sought by the appellant is related with personal information of third party and hence was denied and the same reply was sent to the appellant vide letter no.61512 dated 24.06.2020.

The SPIO has sent an e-mail which a copy of letter no.12578 dated 26.11.2020 along with the RTI application dated 17.06.2020, copy of letter no.6151 dated 24.06.2020, copy of letter no.11815 dated 06.11.2020 and copy of letter no.12183 dated 16.11.2020.

The appellant has not filed RTI application along with the Second Appeal, but the copy of the RTI application has been sent by the respondent.

I have gone through the RTI application filed by the appellant and he sought information regarding present and permanent address of Shri Bhag Singh, Former Registrar of State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, Punjab, Chandigarh. The SPIO has denied the information being personal information of third party.

As the appellant is seeking the information regarding the residential address of Shri Bhag Singh, Former Registrar of State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, Punjab, Chandigarh, which is not a public record rather the address of a person is his personal information, which is exempted U/s 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

As per the reply of the SPIO the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** being personal information of third party, which is exempted U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Dated: 26.11.2020

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Vishal Kumar (Advocate),
Room NO. 27, IIIrd Floor,
Main Building, Gate No. 4. New Bar Complex,
Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o District Food and Supply Controller,
SAS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o District Food and Supplies Controller, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2757 of 2020

Present:- Shri Vishal Kumar (Advocate), appellant is absent.
Shri Pardeep Singh, AFSO is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o District Food
and Supply Controller, SAS Nagar.

ORDER

Shri Vishal Kumar (Advocate), appellant filed RTI application dated 30.05.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Distt. Food and Supply Controller, SAS Nagar. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 07.07.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 21.09.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent has appeared on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that 23 pages of sought for information was sent to the appellant.

The SPIO has sent a mail to the Commission on 20.11.2020, diarized vide no.16787, which a copy of letter no.3749 dated 20.11.2020 along with copy of letter no.156 dated 19.11.2020, copy of letter no.60 dated 17.06.2020 and copies of information.

I have gone through letter no.3749 dated 20.11.2020 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the sought for information/reply was sent to the appellant vide letter no.60 dated 17.06.2020, but the appellant sent a letter to the office of the SPIO and submitted that the information is incorrect and insufficient. It is further submitted by the SPIO that letter no.101 dated 17.07.2020 and again letter no.153 dated 17.11.2020 was written to the appellant and he was asked him to submit the list of deficiencies, so that complete information be provided to him, but the appellant has not brought any list of deficiencies to the notice of the SPIO till today.

The SPIO has sent 23 pages of sought for information to the appellant vide letter no.60 dated 17.06.2020, but the appellant has not filed any list of deficiencies to the notice of the SPIO till today. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.11.2020

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri R.P. Singh, C/o Shri Gurmail Singh Nahar, Advocate,
4th Floor, Near Public Lift,
Court Complex, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2765 of 2020

Present:- Shri R.P. Singh, appellant is present.
Ms. Rooppreet Kaur, Drug Inspector is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri R.P. Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 03.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 08.08.2020. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 22.09.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today. The respondent stated that the reply/information was sent to the appellant vide letter no.237 dated 07.09.2020 through registered post.

The appellant stated that the information sent by the SPIO is incomplete.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 23.11.2020, diarized vide no.16862, which is a copy of letter no.375 dated 20.11.2020 along with a copy of letter no.237 dated 07.09.2020 and photocopy of postal receipt dated 07.09.2020.

I have gone through letter no.237 dated 07.09.2020 vide which the reply/information was sent to the appellant. The SPIO submitted that the information regarding para nos. 1, 2, 3 and 5 of the RTI application is not related with the office of the SPIO and the information regarding para no.4 of the RTI application has been sent to the appellant.

The SPIO has sent the information regarding para no.4 of the RTI application to the appellant and rest of the information is not related with the office of the SPIO, hence he is unable to provide it to the appellant. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Dated: 26.11.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Ramesh Kumar, S/o Late Shri Amarnath,
H. No. U-1652, Sardar Nagar,
Opp. Old Central Jail,
Ajnala Road, Amritsar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Bureau of Investigation, Punjab,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Bureau of Investigation, Punjab,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2766 of 2020

Present:- Shri Ramesh Kumar, appellant is present.
Shri Ram Prashad, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of Shri Balraj Singh, PPS,
SPIO, O/o Director, Bureau of Investigation, Punjab, Chandigarh.

O R D E R

Shri Ramesh Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 10.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director, Bureau of Investigation, Punjab, Chandigarh. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 17.08.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 22.09.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today **through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are present today. The respondent stated that the appellant sought information regarding the inquiry report, in which investigation was pending and regarding that reply was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 11.08.2020 that the investigation is still pending. It is further submitted by the respondent that now the investigation has been completed and the attested copies of information comprising of 9 pages has been sent to the appellant vide letter no.370 dated 09.11.2020 through registered post.

The appellant stated that he has not received the information sent by the SPIO.

So, the SPIO is directed that the attested copies of complete sought for information be once again sent to the appellant through registered post within 2 days and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 26.11.2020



Shri Jaswinder Singh,
S/o Shri Raghbir Singh,
315, Phase-1,
Mohali.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Senior Superintendent of Police,
SAS Nagar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 688 of 2020

Present:- Shri Jaswinder Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Head Constable Gurnam Singh is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar.

O R D E R

Shri Jaswinder Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 03.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 21.09.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent has appeared on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the sought for information has been provided by hand to the complainant/applicant vide letter dated 13.11.2020.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.52111/G dated 20.11.2020 along with copy of letter dated 13.11.2020 and a copy of receipt dated 13.11.2020 duly signed by Shri Jaswinder Singh, complainant/applicant.

I have gone through the receipt dated 13.11.2020 duly signed by Shri Jaswinder Singh, complainant/applicant and he submitted that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information and he further submitted that he wants no further action upon his complaint case.

As the sought for information has been provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO and the complainant/applicant has executed a duly signed receipt that he has received the information and he is satisfied with the information, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.11.2020

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Surjit Singh, S/o Shri Rattan Singh,
R/o Village Chahar Majra,
Tehsil Anandpur Sahib,
Distt. Roopnagar.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Secretary,
Shiromani Gurudwara Prabandhak Committee,
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 698 of 2020

Present:- Shri Surjit Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Shiromani Gurudwara Prabandhak Committee, Amritsar.

ORDER

Shri Surjit Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 20.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Shiromani Gurudwara Prabandhak Committee, Amritsar. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 22.09.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant/applicant is present today, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the SPIO. I have gone through the RTI application dated 20.07.2020 and also discussed about the sought for information with the complainant/applicant.

After discussion with the complainant/applicant, it came to notice of the Commission that the complainant/applicant has filed two complaint cases with the same RTI application i.e. Complaint Case no.697 of 2020 and Complaint Case no.698 of 2020 and both the cases are listed in today's hearing before the present Bench. The next date of hearing in Complaint Case no.697 of 2020 is 23.12.2020. The complainant/applicant himself admitted that he has filed the same RTI application in both the cases.

So, I **disposed of and closed** the present complaint case as the complainant/applicant has filed the same RTI application in complaint case no.697 of 2020 and the next date of hearing in that case is 23.12.2020.

Dated: 26.11.2020

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Ms. Poonam Kalsi, D/o Shri Kuljit Ram,
R/o H.No. 7/270, Bhim Rao Colony,
Banga, SBS Nagar.

.....Complainant.
Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Punjab State Human Rights Commission,
SCO 20-21-22, Sector-34,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 682 of 2020

Present:- Shri Perminder Ralla is present on behalf of Ms. Poonam Kalsi, complainant/applicant.
Shri Harbhajan Singh Bhutani, SPIO-cum-Suptd. O/o Punjab State Human Rights Commission, Chandigarh is present.

ORDER

Ms. Poonam Kalsi, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 20.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Punjab State Human Rights Commission, Chandigarh. When no information was provided to her by the SPIO then she filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 21.09.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Shri Perminder Ralla is present on behalf of Smt. Poonam Kalsi, complainant/applicant and Shri Harbhajan Singh Bhutani, SPIO is also present.

The SPIO stated that the RTI application dated 20.08.2020 filed by complainant/applicant was received in the office of the SPIO on 31.08.2020 and a reply was sent to her vide letter no.20511 dated 16.09.2020 and it was replied that her complaint no. 7305/8/2020 is still pending for scrutiny.

The Commission has receive an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of Order dated 08.10.2020 along with a copy of letter no.20511 dated 16.09.2020, copy of reply dated 23.11.2020 and a copy of letter no.26368 dated 23.11.2020.

The RTI application dated 20.08.2020 of the complainant/applicant was received in the office of the SPIO on 31.08.2020 and the SPIO has sent reply to the complainant/applicant vide letter no.20511 dated 16.09.2020 within the stipulated period of 30 days. So, no complaint lies against the SPIO.

Hence the present compliant case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.11.2020

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab